

Richard Johnston - SBN 124524  
131-A Stony Circle, Suite 500  
Santa Rosa, California 95401  
Telephone (707) 577-7422  
Facsimile (707) 837-9532

Attorney for Plaintiff  
Dawn Rutherford

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Dawn Rutherford,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
Scene 7, Inc. Long Term Disability Plan,  
Prudential Insurance Company of America,  
Defendants.

) Case No. C 07-6426 WHA

) **DECLARATION OF RICHARD  
JOHNSTON SUBMITTED BY  
RUTHERFORD IN SUPPORT OF  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO CONDUCT  
DISCOVERY PURSUANT TO RULE 56(f)**

) **Date:** July 10, 2008  
**Time:** 8:00 a.m.  
**Courtroom:** 9, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor

Hon. William H. Alsup

Richard Johnston declares as follows:

1. I am counsel for plaintiff Dawn Rutherford in this matter. I have direct, personal and firsthand knowledge of the following facts, and know them to be true. If called upon to do so, I could and would competently testify thereto.

2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of a letter I personally prepared and sent via electronic mail and First Class Mail to defense counsel Tad A. Devlin, Esq. I transmitted this letter on April 7, 2008.

1       3. It is my opinion that the credibility of Alan Kimelman, M.D., is potentially a very  
2 material issue in this case. Dr. Kimelman conducted a medical examination of Ms. Rutherford  
3 at Prudential's behest, and issued a report in which, among other things, he accused her of  
4 "symptom magnification." Prudential placed a great amount of weight, in my evaluation, on Dr.  
5 Kimelman's report in upholding the termination of Ms. Rutherford's benefits. For these  
6 reasons, Ms. Rutherford desires to take Dr. Kimelman's deposition and require him to produce  
7 appropriate associated documentation.

8  
9       4. It is my opinion the deposition of James Furman is also necessary. Mr. Furman  
10 issued the final denial of benefits in this matter; arranged for medical and vocational reviews;  
11 and otherwise managed Prudential's conduct in the critical latter stages of the processing of  
12 Ms. Rutherford's claim and appeals. For these reasons, Ms. Rutherford desires to take Dr.  
13 Kimelman's deposition and require him to produce appropriate associated documentation as  
14 well.

15  
16       5. Prior to filing this action. I corresponded several times with Mr. Furman seeking  
17 documentary materials which, in my opinion, Prudential was required by law to produce.  
18 These transactions are described in Ms. Rutherford's complaint in this matter at paragraphs  
19 14 through 17. Ms. Rutherford seeks to compel the production of these materials, both  
20 because we maintain Prudential was obligated to produce them previously and because they  
21 may well bear on the court's decision as to whether to render injunctive relief on Ms.  
22 Rutherford's second cause of action.

23  
24       6. I respectfully request that any decision the court makes at this time respecting  
25 discovery entitlements be without prejudice to subsequent requests for leave to conduct  
26 discovery, based on developments as they may occur.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed in Santa Rosa, California.

Dated June 19, 2008.

/s/  
Richard Johnston